Case 1:22-cv-01452-RA-SDA

Document 126

Filed 12/14

BELDOCK LEVINE & HOFFMAN 99 PARK AVENUE, PH/26TH FL

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10016

JONATHAN MOORE

DAVID B. RANKIN

LUNA DROUBI

MARC A. CANNAN

CYNTHIA ROLLINGS

JONATHAN K. POLLACK

HENRY A. DLUGACZ

STEPHEN J. BLUMERT

MYRON BELDOCK (1929-2016)

LAWRENCE S. LEVINE (1934-2004)

TEL: (212) 490-0400 FAX: (212) 277-5880 WEBSITE: bfhny.com USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:___
DATE FILED: 12/11/2024

PETER S. MATORIN
KAREN L. DIPPOLD
MARJORY D. FIELD S
EMILY JANE GOODMAN
IJUSTICE, NYS SUPREME COURT, RET.I
FRANK HANDELMAN

REF: 842800.02

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL:

212-277-5875

December 11, 2024

VIA ECF

ELLIOT L. HOFFMAN (1929-2016)

The Honorable Stewart Aaron Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

ENDORSEMENT: In view of the instant status update, the Clerk of Court is respectfully requested to terminate the motions filed at ECF Nos. 113 and 120 as moot. Moreover, Attorney Yoon is relieved of the requirement to file a letter in response to the Court's December 10, 2024 Order. SO ORDERED. Dated: December 11, 2024

Re: Talukder v. State of New York et al., No. 22-cv-01452 (RA)

[rel. Sughrim et al. v. State of New York et al., No. 19-cv-07977

(RA)(SDA)

Your Honor:

We represent Plaintiff in the above referenced action. We write, jointly with Defendants and in response to the Court's December 10, 2024 Order, Dkt. No. 123, to notify the Court that the State Office of Employee Relations (OER) responded to the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel by producing to Defendants' counsel what it presented as "the documents the New York State Office of Employee Relations, Anti-Discrimination Investigations Division (ADID) used in the investigation of the EEOC complaint filed by M.D.A. Talukder" as well as a "Draft Investigation Report (DIR)." Defendants provided the email from Director and Counsel for Investigations Anti-Discrimination Investigations Division as well as all attachments to Plaintiff. As a result, the Parties have conferred and are satisfied with the production based on OER's representation. All fact discovery matters have now been resolved. The Parties have been timely exchanging expert discovery and believe that no further discovery extensions will be required.

We thank the Court in advance for its time and attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Luna Droubi

cc: All Counsel (via ECF)